

PHILIPPINE SOCIETY OF NEPHROLOGY

Position Statement on the Safety of Fluorescein Angiography on Patients with Kidney Disease

RATIONALE

Contrast induced Acute Kidney Injury (CI-AKI), is commonly defined as either an increase of serum creatinine (SCr) of more than 0.5 mg/dL or more than 25% from baseline after 48 hours post-exposure to contrast media (Ozkok 2017). CI-AKI remains to be a dreaded complication following procedures usually requiring iodinated contrast. Controversy still exists in its diagnosis and management with monitoring and prophylactic protocols differing both internationally and locally.

Fluorescein Angiography (FA) is a common diagnostic tool which uses a sodium fluorescein, a non-iodinated contrast, to visualize the retina. The widespread use of this modality in patients with both diabetes (DM) and chronic kidney disease (CKD) has led to a debate as to whether this type of contrast procedure may affect kidney function significantly or whether keen monitoring of kidney function and nephrology consultation is warranted prior to its conduct.

To date, there are no established standard or local protocols with practices varying depending on institutional requirements. Most centers necessitate repeat laboratory tests and nephrology subspecialty clearance in patients with elevated SCr, cut-off values of which still differ per center. Medical clearance along with routine testing of laboratories has led to some delays in imaging and management as well as unwarranted costs for patients. Hence, there is a need to review recent data and establish a consensus regarding the association of FA and CI-AKI, specifically in patients who are more prone to acute kidney injury (AKI) such as those with CKD.

Footnote:

*Criteria for CKD [Chronic Kidney Disease] KDIGO 2012 - GFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m² or markers of kidney damage [albuminuria, urine sediment abnormalities, electrolyte and other abnormalities due to tubular disorders, abnormalities detected by histology, structural abnormalities detected by imaging, history of kidney transplantation] present for > 3 months.

*CKD is classified by 1. GFR [**G1** Normal or high ≥90, **G2** Mildly decreased 60-89, **G3a** Mildly to moderately decreased 45-59, **G3b** Moderately to severely decreased 30-44, **G4** Severely

decreased 15-29, **G5** Kidney failure <15] and 2. Albuminuria/UACR [**A1** Normal to mildly increased <30 mg/g, **A2** Moderately increased 30-300 mg/g, **A3** Severely increased >300 mg/g].

RECOMMENDATION

Fluorescein angiography poses no to minimal risk of clinically significant CI-AKI in all stages of CKD, including those on dialysis. Hence, subspecialty nephrology referral for clearance and acute monitoring of laboratory parameters may not be necessary.

(Strong recommendation, Low-Moderate quality of evidence)

REVIEW OF EVIDENCE

As of this writing, there are no randomized controlled trials investigating the association of FA and CI-AKI. Most studies are in the form of retrospective reviews and prospective cohorts enrolling patients with stable CKD with or without diabetes.

In patients with and without CKD, two retrospective studies found no association between FA and AKI, regardless of baseline kidney function or presence of co-morbidities (Chung 2014, Lee 2017). Meanwhile, a large retrospective study involving 979 subjects which used a different definition for CIN and CI-AKI (Table 1), noted the incidence to be 7.3% and 6.4% respectively. Their data showed a U-shaped distribution with higher incidence rate in Stage 1 (10.3%) and Stage 5 (29%). In their survival analysis, only CKD stage 3-5 was associated with ESRD progression. It is important to note, however, that these patients may be following a natural course of CKD and the statistical significance may not indicate a causal relationship (Yun 2019). Conversely, in the only local study available, a prospective cohort by Naidas et al identified 2.8% of subjects to have developed CI-AKI post-FA. Despite this, there was no prolonged worsening of renal function as evidenced by an increase in SCr in the study population which included both high and low risk groups. Moreover, when broken down according to risk stratification, those classified as intermediate [eGFR 30 - 59 mL/min] and high risk [eGFR ≤29 mL/min] even had a documented improvement in eGFR post-procedure (Naidas 2020).

In patients with both Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) and CKD, two retrospective cohorts revealed no significant increase in SCr and eGFR post-FA (Kameda 2009, Kim 2016). In contrast, only one small prospective study in patients with T2DM with and without CKD concluded otherwise. In this study, nine out of 44 patients had CI-AKI

(Increase in SCr 25% or an absolute increase of ≥ 0.5 mg/dl) after FA exposure (Alamzadeh 2011). Almalki et al further elaborated the possibility of subclinical AKI as measured by other biomarkers. In this prospective study in patients with T2DM (N=100), only one subject developed AKI as defined by SCr. However, 11 subjects were noted to have an increase in serum Cystatin C and 40 subjects had an increase in NGAL (Almalki 2017). Though the increase in these biomarkers was significant, the routine use of these parameters as standard in the diagnosis of AKI along with the relevance of subclinical AKI remains to be validated.

The review of Gumabon et al summarized the findings of some of the aforementioned studies. This meta-analysis included six studies with a total of 1555 subjects. In this analysis, diagnosis of CI-AKI was noted in 6.8% (106) patients. Furthermore, sex, DM, and CKD were not associated with an increased risk for AKI. Low hemoglobin and albumin levels were found to be associated with CI-AKI but not as independent risk factors as analyzed in the regression analysis. Though a statistically significant difference in serum creatinine values pre- and post-exposure [mean difference 0.05 (0.02, 0.07), $p = 0.14$] was found, the absolute value increase seems insignificant (0.02 to 0.07 mg/dL) (Gumabon et al 2020).

In view of the current evidence, most of the studies reported no association of FA and AKI, even in patients with pre-existing CKD or T2DM (Kameda et al 2009, Chung et al 2014, Kim et al 2016, Lee et al 2017). Some studies noted a small percentage of CI-AKI in their study population, however, these were not clinically significant (Almalki et al 2017, Naidas et al 2020, Gumabon et al 2020). Moreover, elevated SCr levels in these reports went to baseline levels in a few days without the need for intervention, apart from oral hydration. Summary of incidences from all studies range from 0-20% (note that only one study had an incidence of 20% and the rest ranged from 0-7.3%). Only one study reported an adverse long term outcome of ESRD progression in those with CKD 3-5 who had CIN/CI-AKI post FA (Yun et al 2019). However, this data must be interpreted with caution as the decline in renal function may reflect the natural course of CKD progression and may not imply causation. More trials are needed to conclude the possibility of subclinical AKI through biomarker monitoring.

There were no studies that included patients on peritoneal or hemodialysis. However, it is sensible to infer that the possible kidney injury from the procedure will be clinically insignificant in those already on dialysis.

Based on these, FA poses no to minimal risk of clinically significant AKI. In standard doses, the procedure may be done without the need for subspecialty nephrology consultation and acute kidney function monitoring.

Table 1. Summary of Evidence

References	Study Design	Patient Population	No. of Patients	Volume of contrast	Cases with CI-AKI	Conclusion
Kameda et al, 2009	Retrospective cohort, single-center	Diabetic patients CKD stage 3-5	128 (99M, 29F)	2.5 ml of 10% solution	No cases of AKI CI-AKI not defined	In diabetic patients with CKD, eGFR did not change significantly following FA at CKD stage (3–5)
Chung et al, 2014	Retrospective, single-center	Not exactly defined	186	Not defined	4 out of 186 developed AKI due to other causes CI-AKI not defined	No AKI following FA
Lee et al, 2017	Retrospective, single-center	Non-dialytic CKD and non-CKD patients	160 (91M, 69F)	500 mg	2 developed AKI due to other causes AKI defined as 0.5mg/ml rise in SCr after FA	Acute renal function deterioration was not evident in patients undergoing FA regardless of baseline renal function and Comorbidities. FA safe in terms of AKI
Almalki et al, 2017	Prospective, single-center	T2DM with stable kidney function, with or without CKD	100 (71M, 29F)	5ml of 5% solution	1 developed AKI CI-AKI define 25% rise from baseline SCr, or absolute 0.5mg/dL increase in SCr 48-72h after contrast, 11 developed AKI by 25% increase in serum Cystatin-C, 40 developed AKI by 25% increase in urine NGAL	Possible subclinical AKI using newer biomarkers (serum cystatin-C and uNGAL), not detected by using SCr

Alamzadeh et al, 2011	Prospective cohort, single-center	T2DM with or without CKD	44 (22M, 22F)	500 mg	Nine patients Defined as an increase in SCr of $\geq 25\%$ or an absolute increase of ≥ 0.5 mg/dl	Fluorescein could cause to renal injury in diabetic patients following FA
Rajput et al, 2017	Prospective cohort, single-center	T2DM CKD 3-5	11	5ml of 10% solution	No cases of AKI CI-AKI not defined	Normal dose of FA is safe in CKD 3A and 3B
Naidas et al, 2020	Prospective cohort, single-center	CKD and Non CKD	144 (38M, 106F)	5ml of 500mg solution	4 (2.8%) patients developed AKI CI-AKI defined as elevation of serum creatinine ($\geq 25\%$ or 0.5 mg/dL) within 72 hours of intravascular administration of contrast media in the absence of an alternative etiology	There was no prolonged or serious worsening of renal function based on SCr and eGFR before and after FFA
Kim et al, 2016	Retrospective, single-center	Diabetic, nondialysis CKD	80 (44M, 36F)	5ml of 10% solution	No AKI mentioned, CI-AKI not defined no significant differences BUN, SCr before and after fluorescein angiography eGFR was significantly increased after fluorescein angiography at CKD stage 3	FA is a relatively safe diagnostic examination in patients with diabetic retinopathy who did not receive dialysis due to the low-risk of renal function deterioration.

Yun 2019	Retrospective, multicenter	Adults with and without comorbidities RRT, previous CT scan and CA excluded 43% CKD 3-5 63% DM	979 (38F)	250-500 mg for 10 seconds	CIN 7.3% CIAKI 6.4% CIN criteria as >0.5 mg/dL or >25% increase in SCr level within 3 days after FA, and CI-AKI criteria as ≥ 0.3 mg/dL increase within 2 days or $\geq 50\%$ increase within 7 days after FAG	FA may cause CIN and appeared to be a possible risk factor for ESRD progression. However, CIN or CI-AKI criteria themselves may overestimate AKI and require meticulous attention to the interpretation of results
Gumabon et al 2020	Meta-analyses	Adults with and without any co-morbidities CKD-D excluded	6 studies, 1555	Variable per study 250-500 mg	6.8% had CIN Hemoglobin ($p = 0.002$) and albumin ($p < 0.001$) levels may be associated with CIN but not independent risk factors for CIN (multivariable logistic regression, $p = 0.648$ and $p = 0.069$, respectively) Sex, DM, CKD not associated.	FA does not pose an increased risk for CIN.

REFERENCES

1. Ozkok S and Ozkok A. Contrast-induced acute kidney injury: A review of practical points. World J Nephrol. 2017 May 6;6(3):86-99.

2. Chung B, Lee SC. Renal function following fluorescein angiography. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* April 2014, Vol.55, 258.
3. Lee JH, Chung B, Lee SC, et al. Lower Incidence of Contrast-Induced Nephropathy in Patients Undergoing Fluorescent Angiography. *BMC Ophthalmology*. 2017;17(1):46.
4. Yun D, Kim DK, Lee JP, Kim YS, Oh S, Lim CS. Can sodium fluorescein cause contrast-induced nephropathy? *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2019; 1–7.
5. Naidas, N. C. M., Jordan, C. E., Aguilar, R. N., & Crisostomo, A. C. A Prospective Observational Study on the Risk of Contrast-Induced Nephropathy Among Patients Undergoing Fundus Fluorescein Angiography. *Philipp J Ophthalmol* 2020;45:70-76.
6. Kameda Y, Babazono T, Haruyama K, Iwamoto Y, Kitano S. Renal function following fluorescein angiography in diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32:e31.
7. Kim JT, Jin SY, Chang YS, Hwang WM, Lee YH. The effect of fluorescein angiography on renal function in patients with diabetic retinopathy. *J Korean Ophthalmol Soc* 2016;57(10):1598-1603.
8. Alemzadeh-Ansari MJ, Beladi-Mousavi SS, Feghei M. Effect of Fluorescein on Renal Function Among Diabetic Patients. *Nefrologia*. 2011;31(5):612-613.
9. Almalki WH, Abdalla AN, Elkeraie AF, et al. Effect of Fluorescein Angiography on Renal Functions in Type 2 Diabetes Patients: A Pilot Study. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl*. 2017;28(3):491-498.
10. Gumabon KEC, So PNH, Ang AMJ. The Effect of Fluorescein Angiography on Renal Function: A Meta-analysis and Systematic Review. *Acta Med Philipp* [Internet]. 2022Feb.4 [cited 2022Jul.18];. Available from: <https://actamedicaphilippina.upm.edu.ph/index.php/acta/article/view/4198>
11. Rajput R, Vaidi N, Manna A, Elsherbiny S. Safety of fluorescein angiograms in patients with chronic kidney disease. *New Fron Ophthalmol*.2017,3(2):1.
12. KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease. *Kidney inter., Suppl*. 2013; 3: 1–150